

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/782,451	02/19/2004	Hans-Peter Foser	IVd15US	5190
John C. Thomp	7590 05/15/2007		EXAMINER WERNER, JONATHAN S	
69 Grayton Roa	ad			
Tonawanda, N	Y 14150		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
	•			
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	A N N	I A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/782,451	FOSER ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Werner	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complication periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing described. 	llowing replies: (1) an amendi Notice of Appeal (with appeal ance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31	h I; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thin no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired.	is Advisory Action, or (2) the date re later than SIX MONTHS from t	he mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEI	P 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lanay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	extension and the corresponding ne shortened statutory period for ater than three months after the n	amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action;	sion fee or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any example a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal	
AMENDMENTS	•	, ,	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b)	consideration and/or search		,
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or		erially reducing or simplifying the issue	s for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR		inally rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR		Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-32	24).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	e allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment cance	ling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 19-29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation	on of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fill entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	to overcome all rejections und	ler appeal and/or appellant fails to prov	e vide a
10 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana			

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jonathan Werner Examiner

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments to the claims raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search as previously indicated in the prior advisory action mailed 4/18/07. Applicant incorrectly alleges that the amendment to the claims do not introduce new issues that would require further consideration. For example, Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitation "the interconnecting material being light-polymerizable." Applicant asserts that this limitation was originally presented as subject matter in claim 13, hence newly amended claim 1 would not require a further consideration. However, Examiner notes that Applicant's assertion is wrong since the claimed subject matter of originally presented claim 13 is not directly incorporated into newly amended claim 1. Examiner points out that originally presented claim 13 is directed to a coupling element that is formed of a polymerizable plastic, whereas newly amended claim 1 presents a new limitation directed to a light-polymerizable interconnecting material. Since Applicant's original disclosure described the interconnecting material as an element that is included as part of the coupling element, it is clearly apparent that newly amended claim 1 narrows the originally claimed scope of Applicant's invention, and hence requires a further consideration and/or search.